

bearings and parts thereof (SPBs). Subsequently, two domestic producers, the Torrington Company and Federal-Mogul, and a number of other interested parties, filed lawsuits with the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) challenging the final results. These lawsuits were litigated at the CIT and the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC). On February 23, 1998, as a result of a final court decision, we issued amended final results for all firms whose dumping margins had changed as a result of litigation except for NSK. See *Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France, et al.; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews* (63 FR 8908). At that time our determination of NSK's dumping margins was still subject to outstanding litigation.

On March 27, 1998, the CIT affirmed the Department's remand results for *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, NSK Ltd. And NSK Corporation v. United States*, Slip Op. 97-122 (CIT August 28, 1997), and dismissed this case. *NSK Ltd. and NSK Corp. v. United States*, Slip Op. 98-37 (CIT March 27, 1998). As a result of this and other litigation cited in our February 23, 1998, amended final results notice, the CIT (in some cases based on decisions by the CAFC) ordered the Department to make methodological changes and to recalculate the dumping margins for NSK. Specifically, the CIT ordered the Department, *inter alia*: (1) To change its methodology to account for value-added taxes with respect to the comparison of U.S. and home market prices; (2) not to deduct pre-sale inland freight incurred in the home market if the Department determined that there was no statutory authority to make such a deduction; (3) to develop a methodology which removes post-sale price adjustments and rebates paid on out-of-scope merchandise from any adjustment made to foreign market value or to deny such an adjustment if a viable method could not be found; (4) remove zero-priced United States sample sales from our antidumping calculations; and (5) to correct certain clerical errors.

As there is now a final and conclusive court decision with respect to NSK, we are amending our final results of review for this firm and we will subsequently instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate NSK's entries subject to these reviews.

Amendment to Final Results

Pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act, we are now amending the

final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from Japan for the period May 1, 1990, through April 30, 1991, with respect to NSK. The revised weighted-average percentage margins are as follows:

Company	BBs	CRBs	SPBs
NSK	4.63	12.47	(¹)

¹ AA(1) No U.S. sales during the review period.

Accordingly, the Department will determine and the U.S. Customs Service will assess appropriate antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise made by NSK. Individual differences between United States price and foreign market value may vary from the percentages listed above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions to the U.S. Customs Service after publication of these amended final results of reviews.

This notice is published pursuant to section 751(a) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: May 7, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-12884 Filed 5-13-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-813]

Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand; Correction

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Correction.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice corrects the case number previously published in the **Federal Register** on April 9, 1998 (Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 63 FR 17357). On page 17357, we used the incorrect case number to reference this case. The correct case number is "A-549-813."

Dated: May 7, 1998.

Richard W. Moreland,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-12760 Filed 5-13-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-601]

Certain Fresh Cut Flowers From Mexico; Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order in Part.

SUMMARY: On January 9, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain fresh cut flowers from Mexico and intent to revoke in part with respect to respondent Rancho del Pacifico (Pacifico). This review covers one producer/exporter, Pacifico, and the period April 1, 1996 through March 31, 1997.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results; however, we received no comments from interested parties. We have not changed the results from those presented in the preliminary results of review. We have also determined to revoke the order in part, with respect to Pacifico.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 14, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum or Maureen Flannery, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0197 or (202) 482-3020, respectively.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations as codified at 19 CFR Part 353 (1996).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 9, 1998, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 1428) the preliminary results of the administrative review of the